The Louisiana Jury Verdict Reporter

The Most Current and Complete Summary of Louisiana Jury Verdicts

September 2024

Statewide Jury Verdict Coverage

15 LaJVR 9

In This Issue

Orleans Parish	
Truck Negligence - \$880,624	p. 1
Federal Court - New Orleans	
Civil Rights - \$1,000,000	p. 2
Calcasieu Parish	
Medical Malpractice - \$632,735	p. 4
Premises Liability - \$902,783	p. 8
East Baton Rouge Parish	
Auto Negligence - \$150,000	p. 5
Ouachita Parish	
Medical Malpractice - \$549,361	p. 6
St. Landry Parish	
Auto Negligence - \$99,275 and	
\$63,944	p. 10
Notable Mississippi Verdict	
Decatur, MS (Newton County)	
Auto Negligence - \$4,078,223	p. 11

Civil Jury Verdicts

Timely coverage of civil jury verdicts in Louisiana including court, division, presiding judge, parties, case number, attorneys and results.

Truck Negligence - A

nonagenarian suffered cervical and lumber injuries in a collision with a garbage truck – a New Orleans jury valued her non-economic damages at \$720,000, which was 15.4 times her medical bills – the garbage company has since moved for a JNOV and suggested the damages were abusively high in part because of the plaintiff's advanced age and limited life expectancy

Menzel v. Waste Connections Bayou, 23-4389

Plaintiff: Gilbert V. Andry, IV and Michael J. Winsberg, *The Andry Law Firm*, New Orleans

Defense: Jacob K. Best and M. Kathryn Mitchell, *Blue Williams*, Metairie

57 - .. 1: -1

Verdict: \$880,625 for plaintiff

Parish: **Orleans**

Judge: Jennifer M. Medley

Date: 5-13-24

Loann Menzel, age 90, was involved in a car crash on 4-5-23 with a garbage truck. It occurred in New Orleans on Allen Touissant Boulevard at Pontchartrain Boulevard. Menzel alleged she was in the right lane when a Waste Connections Bayou garbage truck driven by Deland Red (insured by Ace-American Insurance) changed lanes and struck her 2012 Hyundai Sonata. It was a moderate impact.

This was one version of the collision. Red remembered the incident differently. He thought Menzel was passing him on the right as he began to make a turn. Menzel impeached his version as Red

testified he never saw Menzel before the impact.

However it happened there was a crash, and Menzel has since treated for neck and back pain. That treatment has included conservative care and trigger point injections. The treating Dr. Donald Dietzke, Neurosurgery, confirmed Menzel's injuries and linked them to this crash.

In this lawsuit Menzel sought damages from Red and his employer. That included her medicals of \$46,625 (stipulated), future medicals, and non-economic damages in five categories. Waste Connections contested fault and also diminished the claimed injuries.

This case was tried for four days, and the jury deliberated just an hour. As the jury deliberated it had a question for Judge Medley: "Can we assign a percentage of fault to Menzel if we answer 'no' on liability as to her?" Judge Medley said they could not.

The jury's question presaged the verdict. It found Red at fault and that his negligence had caused injury to Menzel. The jury also answered that Menzel was not negligent and thus apportioned 100% of the fault to the defendant.

The jury moved to damages. Menzel took her stipulated medicals of \$46,225, plus \$114,000 more for in the future. She took a total of \$720,000 in non-economic damages over five categories. The verdict form was odd in how it awarded damages by injury.

Menzel took \$50,000 for past

	STATE OF LOUISIANA*F FOURTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT COURT	
DANNY a	nd JUANITA LEICHMAN	FILED: August	15,2024
VS. NO. 20	021-1472	Summonuli	CAMINA
DR. DOUG	GLAS BROWN, M.D.	DEPUTY CLERK OF O	OURT
	JURY VERD	CT FORM	
IN a	ANSWER to the questions propounde	ed by the Court, as follows, we, the	jury, return
the following	ng verdict:		
L	Did plaintiffs prove by a prepond care and treatment provided by BROWN, was below the standar Danny Leichman that he would no	defendant, DR. DOUGLAS d of care and caused injury to	
	Yes No		
sign	your answer to Question No. 1 is "no this form in the space provided, and thed. <u>Do not proceed to answer any f</u> Question No.1 is "yes", then proceed to	advise the court that a verdict has burther Interrogatories. If your ans	been
2.	What amount of money will re- LEICHMAN for damages he incu fault of defendant?		
	Physical Pain and Suffering	s 225,000	
	Mental Pain and Anguish	s 155,000	
	Medical Expenses	s 94,361.38	
3.	Did Juanita Leichman suffer loss to Danny Leichman?	of consortium due to the injury	
	Yes No		
(If 4.)	your answer to Question No. 3 is ye	s, then proceed to answer Question	n No.
4.	What amount of money will r Leichman for loss of consortium?		2024 /
	Loss of Consortium \$	75,000	
(Pk	ease date and sign.)		62 4 5
FOREPER	2777	August 15, 262	9:58

The Leichman v. Brown jury verdict

Leichman took medicals of \$94,361. His pain and suffering was \$225,000. The jury valued his mental anguish at \$155,000. Finally his wife was

awarded \$75,000 for her loss of consortium interest. The noneconomic damages totaled \$455,000. The verdict totaled \$549,361. Judge Joyce entered a judgment against Brown in the sum of \$100,000. The remaining \$355,000 in non-economic damages and the \$94,361 in medical bills were assessed to the Patient's Compensation fund.

