

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

PAMELA A. AHMED,

Plaintiff,

v.

**MEDICAL DEVICE BUSINESS
SERVICES, INC., a/k/a DEPUY
ORTHOPAEDICS, INC.,**

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
) **Civil Action No. 22-00190-KD-N**
)
)
)
)
)

VERDICT

1. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Pinnacle hip replacement system was not suitable or fit for the ordinary purpose for which hip replacement systems are used?

YES (it was not suitable or fit) _____

NO (it was suitable or fit) X

If you answered NO, then please sign and date this Verdict.

If you answered YES, please answer the next question.

2. Was Ms. Ahmed caused harm as a result of the product not being suitable or fit?

YES (she was caused harm) _____

NO (she was not caused harm) _____

If you answered NO, then please sign and date this Verdict.

If you answered YES, please answer the next question.

3. What amount of damages, if any, did Ms. Ahmed prove by a preponderance that she sustained as a result of DePuy's breach of implied warranty of merchantability?

Medical expenses \$ _____

Pain, suffering and mental anguish \$ _____

Please sign and date this Verdict Form.

SO SAY WE ALL,

FOREPERSON

DATE: 6-6-24

FILED IN OPEN COURT THIS THE
6 DAY OF JUNE 2024
Cristopher Ekman, CLERK

By: Nicole Dawkins
DEPUTY CLERK