

The Tennessee Jury Verdict Reporter

The Most Current and Complete Summary of Tennessee Jury Verdicts

July, 2006

Statewide Jury Verdict Coverage

3 TJVR 7

Unbiased and Independently Researched Jury Verdict Results

In This Issue

Davidson County

Medical Negligence - Defense verdict p. 5
Auto Negligence - Defense verdict p. 8
Auto Negligence - \$4,160 p. 9

Shelby County

FELA - \$5,000,000 p. 1
Auto Negligence - Defense verdict p. 5
Dogbite - \$6,866 p. 6
Medical Negligence - Defense verdict p. 8

Federal Court - Memphis

Age/Race Discrimination - JMOL p. 4

Montgomery County

Premises Liability - \$49,528 p. 4

Knox County

Auto Negligence - \$5,500 p. 5
Auto Negligence - \$27,500 p. 7
Auto Negligence - Defense verdict p. 9
Auto Negligence - \$10,000 p. 10

Coffee County

Auto Negligence - Defense verdict p. 6

Madison County

Auto Negligence - \$21,642 p. 6
Premises Liability - \$1,600 p. 9

Federal Court - Knoxville

Auto Negligence - \$30,000 p. 6
Employment Contract - \$184,209 p. 8

Henry County

Auto Negligence - \$35,000 p. 7

Rutherford County

Truck Negligence - \$30,596 p. 7

Roane County

Auto Negligence - \$6,000 p. 7

Maury County

Auto Negligence - \$60,000 p. 9

Gibson County

Auto Negligence - \$10,250 p. 10

Hamblen County

Auto Negligence - \$8,500 p. 10

Verdicts Revisited

Civil Jury Verdicts

Timely coverage of civil jury verdicts in Tennessee including court, division, presiding judge, parties, case number, attorneys and results.

The Tennessee Jury Verdict Reporter 2005 Year in Review

This important bound volume, 273 pp., has just been published, and is ready for immediate delivery. It includes detailed analysis of every kind of case in 2005, easily sorted and indexed. Over 20 individual reports are included, including car wrecks, medicals cases, discrimination suits, premises liability, plus breakdowns of loss of consortium and punitive damage claims. There is also an injury index, which places an average multiplier on several types of bodily injury. The Review includes the full text of the 426 reported cases in 2005, easily referenced by region, style, result and attorney.

**See the ad inside for details on how to order
this one of a kind publication.**

During the month of July, it is on sale for just \$99.00.

Use the order form inside this issue

FELA - As a train conductor worked on a narrow bridge, he was struck by a passing train and sustained serious injuries – he blamed his employer and cited the track design, this bridge having been built in the 1880's

Jordan v. Norfolk Southern et al,
CT-004175-03

Plaintiff: Stephen R. Leffler, Memphis and Christopher A. Keith, *Wettermark Holland & Keith*, Birmingham, AL
Defense: Everett B. Gibson and S. Camille Reifers, *Bateman Gibson*, Memphis for Norfolk Southern
William C. Spencer, Jr., *Mitchell McNutt & Sams*, Tupelo, MS for Burlington Northern

Verdict: \$5,000,000 for plaintiff assessed against Norfolk Southern only; Defense verdict for Burlington Northern
Court: **Shelby**
Judge: James Russell
2-9-06

Thomas Jordan, then age 52, was working as a conductor on 11-13-02 for Norfolk Southern. His task this evening was to uncouple a train on a narrow bridge in Memphis above Danny Thomas Boulevard. The track was designed in the 1880's.

As Jordan stepped from one train, he was struck by a passing train operated by Burlington Northern. The impact left Jordan badly hurt. His injuries included a broken leg and scapula, as well as

Still don't have your copy?
The TJVR 2005 Year in Review

Now on Sale

The 2005 Year in Review has just been published and at 273 pp. bound, it is our most ambitious project yet in Tennessee. It includes comprehensive analysis of the 426 jury verdicts we reported in our 2005 issues. They are sorted in a way that has never been seen before in this state. The Review includes more than twenty reports on all sorts of patterns, trends and categories.

The Book is available in either print or a PDF (Adobe) format. [The PDF version is fully searchable with Adobe.] Each version of the 2005 Book sells for \$99.00 during the 2006 book sale.

What else is included in 2005?

<i>Combined Verdict Summary</i>	Detailed won-loss percentages for every variety of case with average results by category.
<i>Million Dollar Verdicts</i>	How many were there in 2005? In what sort of cases were they returned?
<i>The Products Liability Report</i>	A summary of the ten products liability trials in 2005.
<i>The 2005 Injury Report</i>	How have certain injuries been valued as a function of the incurred medicals? The Book has the real multipliers for all sorts of injuries.
<i>Other One-of-A-Kind Analysis</i>	Beyond the articles above, the 2005 Book has a detailed review of all the death cases. Does your case involve punitives? We've got all the results sorted by tortious conduct. How have loss of consortium claims been valued? How did comparative fault act as a bar to plaintiff's recovery? All the answers are in the Book.

If it's important to litigators, it's in the Book

How to Order - The 2005 Volume is on sale for just \$99.00, shipping included

Return with your check to:

Tennessee Jury Verdict Reporter
9462 Brownsboro Road, No. 133
Louisville, KY 40241

_____ Name

_____ Firm

Print Version _____

_____ Address

PDF Version _____ (E-Mail Required)

_____ City, State, Zip

Your E-Mail _____

We accept MasterCard/Visa. Call 1-866-228-2447 to place your credit card order.
Have you procrastinated? Do you need the book *yesterday*? We can **ship** it overnight for \$20.00.
Even faster? Got a problem with Federal Express? We can **e-mail** it to you immediately for free!!

**Haven't seen the TJVR 2005 Year in Review?
Here's a look at the complete Table of Contents
Use the order form on the opposite page**

**The Tennessee Jury Verdict Reporter
2005 Year in Review
Table of Contents**

Using the 2005 Year in Review	p. i		
Definitions & Conventions	p. iii		
<u>Summaries & Totals</u>			
Combined Verdict Summary	p. 1		
The Million Dollar Verdicts	p. 3		
<i>Chances of Million Dollar Verdict</i>		p. 3	
<i>Million Dollar Cases at a Glance</i>	p. 4		
<i>Common Injuries/Torts on the List</i>		p. 6	
<u>The Case Type Reports</u>			
The Auto Negligence Report	p. 7		
<i>The Largest Verdicts</i>	p. 8		
<i>Verdict Ranges</i>	p. 10		
<i>The Median Auto Verdicts</i>	p. 12		
The Premises Liability Report	p. 13		
<i>Premises Liability Verdict Ranges</i>		p. 14	
<i>Verdicts at a Glance</i>	p. 15		
<i>Most Common Retail Defendants</i>		p. 15	
The Medical Negligence Report	p. 16		
<i>2005's Largest Verdicts</i>	p. 17		
<i>Synopsis by Category</i>	p. 18		
<i>Verdicts by Type of Medicine</i>	p. 19		
<i>Medical Verdict Ranges</i>	p. 20		
<i>Medical Negligence Attorneys</i>	p. 21		
General Negligence Verdicts	p. 22		
The Products Liability Report	p. 25		
The Death Cases - 2005	p. 26		
<i>Death Cases at a Glance</i>	p. 27		
Employment Discrimination Verdicts		p. 28	
<i>Aggregate Totals by Case Type</i>	p. 30		
The Civil Rights Report (Non-Employment)		p. 31	
Assault Verdicts	p. 32		
Contract/Commercial Tort Verdicts	p. 33		
Miscellaneous Tort Verdicts	p. 35		
<i>Dogbites, Defamation and more</i>			
<u>The Damage Reports</u>			
Loss of Consortium Report		p. 36	
<i>Loss of Consortium Averages</i>		p. 37	
<i>Consortium Verdict Ranges</i>		p. 38	
The Punitive Damages Report		p. 39	
<i>Punitive Verdict Ranges</i>		p. 39	
<i>Punitives by Case Type</i>		p. 40	
The Additur/Remittitur Report		p. 41	
The 2005 Tennessee Injury Report		p. 42	
<i>Using the Injury Multipliers</i>		p. 43	
<u>Miscellaneous Topics</u>			
The Effect of Comparative Fault		p. 48	
Jury Hostility to the Car Wreck Case		p. 49	
<i>A study of cases where plaintiff's claim was rejected either on causation or the jury awarded a sum equal to the incurred medicals</i>			
The Most Prolific Attorneys		p. 51	
The Most Prolific Law Firms		p. 53	
Jury Questions in 2005		p. 54	
<u>The Index Reports</u>			
Verdicts by County		p. 56	
Verdicts by Judge		p. 61	
The 2005 Attorney Index		p. 70	
<u>The Case Index</u>			
The Case Index Directory			
<i>The Guide to the Case Index</i>		p. 95	
The Case Index			
<i>Davidson County</i>		p. 97	
<i>Shelby County</i>		p. 142	
<i>Hamilton County</i>		p. 166	
<i>Knox County</i>		p. 176	
<i>West Tennessee</i>		p. 299	
<i>North-Middle Tennessee</i>		p. 227	
<i>South-Middle Tennessee</i>		p. 239	
<i>Northeast Tennessee</i>		p. 247	
<i>I-75 Corridor</i>		p. 256	

vertebral injuries. Jordan's spleen was additionally lacerated. Beyond his physical injuries, plaintiff has complained of amnesia. Jordan hasn't worked since the crash.

In this lawsuit, Jordan targeted Norfolk Southern for failing to provide him a safe place to work – he focused that the bridge was too narrow, there being inadequate clearance for trains to pass. Plaintiff also alleged negligence by the operator of the Burlington Northern train – that included not having his headlights on and failing to sound a horn. The liability expert for Jordan was Ronald Dunn, Engineer, Williamsburg, VA.

Norfolk Southern defended that its tracks were safe – it also blamed Jordan for stepping into the path of the oncoming train. Burlington Northern also denied fault.

The verdict was mixed on liability, the jury finding fault with Norfolk Southern, but exonerating Burlington Northern. Then to damages, Jordan took a general award of \$5,000,000.

The focus of the litigation has turned to the entry of a judgment – Jordan has argued the judgment should be for the entire \$5,000,000 or at least for the amount of his last *ad damnum* prayer of \$4,000,000. [It was ordered increased just as the trial began from \$2,000,000.] Norfolk Southern has countered that \$2,000,000 would be more fair. Also pending is its JNOV motion that has challenged the verdict as excessive.

Age/Race Discrimination - An IT employee at a Federal Express spin-off lost his discrimination case, the trial judge concluding that there were no similarly situated employees to compare to the plaintiff

Jackson v. FedEx Services, 2:04-2470
Plaintiff: Bradley W. Eskins and James F. King, Jr., *Eskins King*, Memphis
Defense: Karen V. McManus and Michael E. Gabel, *Federal Express*, Memphis
Verdict: Judgment as a matter of law for defendant
Federal: **Memphis**
Judge: Samuel H. Mays, Jr.
6-22-06

Willie Jackson started working in 1997 for Federal Express – he was employed in the firm's IT department. In the summer of 1999, his division began to be headed by Charles Sherwood.

Jackson, who was then age 52 and who is black, asserted that Sherwood began to assign critical tasks to younger white workers. This discrimination, in Jackson's view, continued when the IT department was spun off in the summer of 2000 – the new employer, a subsidiary of Federal Express, was FedEx Services.

Within two months of the spin-off, Jackson was out of work. FedEx cited his poor performance and lack of computer skills. Jackson by contrast thought the firing represented a combination of age and race discrimination – his proof was circumstantial, plaintiff noting that in leading up to the firing, he was treated differently.

FedEx defended that Jackson was not treated differently and was let go as part of a workforce reduction. Importantly, there were no similarly situated employees. It explained that Jackson only installed software and was not a computer programmer – the critical tasks discussed by Jordan went to programmers, not installers.

Jordan countered that while he may have lacked formal training in programming, he had done that work before being supervised by Sherwood – thus the programmers, in his view, were comparators for the purpose of considering if he suffered discrimination.

This case advanced to trial, but fell short of a jury resolution. The court concluded there were no other similarly situated employees and thus Jackson's claims that he was treated differently failed. A consistent judgment followed and Jackson has appealed.

Premises Liability - Plaintiff slipped at a chicken wing restaurant on a stray piece of cheese

Hawkins v. Hooters, 50400862
Plaintiff: Dan L. Nolan and Philip M. Mize, *Batson Nolan Williamson Pearson & Miller*, Clarksville and Herbert E. Patrick, Clarksville
Defense: Tom Corts, *Ortale Kelley Herbert & Crawford*, Nashville
Verdict: \$49,528 for plaintiff
Court: **Montgomery**
Judge: Ross H. Hicks
3-14-06

Kendra Hawkins, then age 31, ate at a Hooters Restaurant in Clarksville on 9-11-03. As she walked to the restaurant, Hawkins failed to appreciate a hidden peril – a stray piece of cheese was on the floor. Hawkins slipped on the cheese and fell hard.

Hawkins treated with Dr. Keith Starkweather, Orthopedics, Clarksville for a knee injury. Three months after the fall, Starkweather performed a meniscal repair surgery. Despite the repair, Hawkins has continued to complain of pain – she is also at risk for arthritis in the future.

In this lawsuit, Hawkins targeted the owl-themed restaurant citing that the cheese-on-the-floor made the premises unsafe. Hooters agreed and admitted fault. The matter then was tried on damages only.

Tried on damages only, Hawkins took medicals of \$10,028, plus \$20,000 for future care. Lost earnings were rejected, Hawkins taking \$8,500 for loss of ability to enjoy life. Suffering was valued at \$11,000, the verdict totaling \$49,528. A judgment in that sum followed for the plaintiff.